

Michael Lee (ML 6353)
Lee Law PLLC
57 West 38th St, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10018
Telephone: (212) 621-8239

Attorney for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

OTTER PRODUCTS, LLC and TREEFROG
DEVELOPMENTS INC.

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOHN REILLY and "JOHN DOES" 1-5;

Defendants.

CASE NO. 7:23-CV-9829

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Otter Products, LLC ("Otter Products") and Treefrog Developments Inc. ("LifeProof") (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs"), through its attorneys, complaining of John Reilly ("Reilly") and "John Does" 1-5 (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants") hereby alleges as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. This is a suit by Plaintiffs against Defendants for: (i) counterfeiting and / or infringement of trademarks in violation of Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114; (ii) federal trademark dilution in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c); (iii) unfair competition, false designation of origin, and false description in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a); and (iv) unfair and deceptive business practices in violation of N.Y. Gen. Bus. L. § 349.

2. This action concerns the distribution of a large amount of merchandise bearing

counterfeits and / or infringements of Plaintiffs' trademarks. Defendants have infringed Plaintiff's valuable trademarks despite being put on notice numerous times demanding that they cease their infringing activities (Reilly even claimed that he would cease all sales of Plaintiffs' products) creating a strong likelihood of confusion among consumers who expect that merchandise bearing Plaintiff's trademarks will be genuine product by Plaintiffs and causing both monetary and other irreparable injury to Plaintiff.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction over the federal trademark claims asserted in this action under 15 U.S.C. § 1121, and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1338.

4. Defendants are subject to the Court's jurisdiction because they have committed the acts complained of herein in this District and do business in this District. Specifically, Defendants have sold infringing product in this District and shipped such infringing products into Rockland County, NY.

5. Defendants are subject to the jurisdiction of this Court pursuant to and in accordance with Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

6. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) and (3).

PARTIES

7. Otter Products is a limited liability company duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Colorado and having its principal place of business located at 209 S. Meldrum Street, Fort Collins, CO 80521.

8. LifeProof is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 209 S. Meldrum Street, Fort Collins, CO 80521.

9. Upon information and belief, Reilly is an individual who resides at 5156 SW

Bimini Circle S, Palm City, FL 34990.

10. The identities of "John Does" 1-5 are not currently known to Plaintiffs. Upon information and belief, they are associated with Reilly and have contributed to Reilly's unlawful activities. Plaintiffs will use their best efforts to identify these "John Does" and upon further knowledge and investigation, Plaintiffs will amend their pleadings accordingly.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Plaintiffs' Famous Products and Trademarks

11. Otter Products began with the entrepreneurial vision of its founder, Curt Richardson. An injection molder by trade, Richardson designed the first "OtterBox" in 1995. The first OtterBox was a durable waterproof box used to keep electronics dry while participating in outdoor sports such as kayaking or fishing. However, through iterative design changes, what started as a waterproof box evolved into a line of functional, durable, and award-winning personal electronics cases. Today, Otter Products' OtterBox® cases stand as one of the best-selling brands of mobile phone and tablet cases worldwide, with cases for a broad variety personal electronics.



12. LifeProof was subsequently founded by an entrepreneur Gary Rayner in 2009. Known by the accuracy of its trade name, LifeProof has gained a strong reputation for designing and manufacturing highly resistant smartphone and tablet cases. LifeProof's award-winning polycarbonate design is able to withstand the harshest of elements, providing water resistance, dirt resistance, and shock absorbency. Otter Products acquired LifeProof in 2013 and they have been doing business collectively ever since.



13. Since their inceptions, Otter Products and LifeProof have marked their brands' products (OtterBox® and LifeProof®) with trademarks that have come to symbolize their profound company heritage, entrepreneurial spirit, and superior craftsmanship. These

reputations started with the design of the first OtterBox® in 1995 and first LifeProof® in 2009 and have since expanded into a robust global marketplace. From their prescient inceptions to their products' establishment as household names, Plaintiffs have expended great amounts of time, money, and effort advertising and promoting their respective trademarks globally, including throughout the United States and in this District. As a result of these investments and their widespread success, Plaintiffs have developed considerable goodwill and reputations for the highest quality products. Plaintiffs have continuously used their trademarks to distinguish their OtterBox® and LifeProof® products, as well as uphold these reputations.

14. Plaintiffs are the owner of various trademarks some of which are registered with the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). Such trademarks include, but are not limited to, the following:

Trademark	USPTO Registration Number	Registration Date	Goods
OTTERBOX	3788534	08/25/2009	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective cases for handheld electronic devices, namely, portable music players, portable video players, cell phones and computers; specially adapted protective carrying cases for computers.
OTTER BOX	3788535	05/11/2010	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective cases for handheld electronic devices, namely, portable music players, portable video players, cell phones and computers; specially adapted protective carrying cases for computers.
OTTERBOX	4602221	09/09/2014	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective covers and cases for handheld electronic devices, namely, cell phones, portable media players, tablets, personal digital assistants, e-book readers, and computers; protective covers and cases for computers.

DEFENDER SERIES	4616874	10/07/2014	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective covers and cases for personal electronic devices, namely, cell phones.
DEFENDER SERIES	3623789	05/19/2009	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective cases for interactive, handheld electronic devices, namely, portable music players, portable video players and tablet computers.
	3791318	05/18/2010	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective cases for handheld electronic devices, namely, portable music players, portable video players, cell phones and computers; specially adapted protective carrying cases for computers.
STRADA SERIES	4864518	12/1/2015	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective cases and covers for personal electronic devices, namely, mobile phones, smartphones, tablets, computers, e-book readers, portable media players; Bags, folios and carrying cases specially adapted for personal electronic devices, namely, mobile phones, smartphones, tablets, computers, e-book readers, portable media players.
STATEMENT SERIES	4952893	05/03/2016	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective cases, covers, bags, and carrying cases for personal electronic devices, namely, mobile phones, smartphones, tablet computers, and e-book readers.
	5356304	12/12/2017	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective cases and covers for mobile electronic devices, namely, smartphones, tablet computers; protective cases and covers adapted to accommodate external accessories for use with mobile electronic devices, namely, smartphones, tablet computers; accessories specially adapted for use with protective cases and covers for smartphones, tablet computers, namely, batteries and power management systems in the nature of external batteries, battery boxes and battery chargers.

DEFENDER SERIES PRO	5726083	04/16/2019	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective cases, carrying cases, casings, and covers for portable electronic devices, namely, cell phones, tablet computers, cases and holsters specially adapted for holding or carrying mobile electronic devices, namely, mobile phones, tablet computers.
	4520890	04/29/2014	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective cases, carrying cases, casings, and covers for portable electronic devices, namely, cell phones, portable media players, electronic tablets, electronic book readers, and laptop computers; cases and holsters specially adapted for holding or carrying mobile electronic devices, namely, mobile phones, electronic tablets, electronic book readers; accessories specially adapted for mobile electronic devices, namely, belt clips, shoulder straps, and hand straps.
LIFEPROOF	4519288	04/22/2014	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective cases, carrying cases, casings, and covers for portable electronic devices, namely, cell phones, portable media players, electronic tablets, electronic book readers, and laptop computers; cases and holsters specially adapted for holding or carrying mobile electronic devices, namely, mobile phones, electronic tablets, electronic book readers; accessories specifically adapted for mobile electronic devices, namely, belt clips, shoulder straps, or hand straps sold as a unit with the bags, cases, and holsters.
	447117	01/14/2014	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective covers specially adapted for personal electronic devices and communications apparatus, namely, cell phones, lap tops, tablet computers for Ebook, magazine and newspaper reading [, and accessories for cell phones, lap tops, and tablet computers for Ebook, magazine and newspaper reading, namely, belt clips, bar mounts and mount adapters, armbands, and headphone adapters

LET'S GO!	4285129	02/05/2013	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective covers specially adapted for personal electronic devices and communications apparatus, namely, cell phones, lap tops, tablet computers for Ebook, magazine and newspaper reading, and accessories for cell phones, lap tops, and tablet computers for Ebook, magazine and newspaper reading, namely, belt clips, bar mounts and mount adapters, armbands, and headphone adapters.
LIFEPROOF	4057201	11/15/2011	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Accessories for electronic goods and communications apparatus, namely, protective housings, carrying cases, cases and covers for portable electronic goods and communications apparatus, namely, cell phones, lap tops, tablet computers for Ebook, magazine and newspaper reading, and portable media players.
FRĒ	4397480	09/03/2013	IC 009. US 021 023 026 036 038. G & S: Protective covers specially adapted for personal electronic devices, and accessories for personal electronic devices, namely, belt clips, bar mounts and mount adapters, armbands, and headphone adapters.

(with others, hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Plaintiffs' Trademarks").

15. The Plaintiffs' Trademarks are valid, in full force and effect, protectable and exclusively owned by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have continuously used the Plaintiffs' Trademarks during all time periods relevant to Plaintiffs' claims. As a result, the Plaintiffs' Trademarks have become incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065.

16. The Plaintiffs' Trademarks have been used regularly in interstate commerce, including within this District, to identify and distinguish Plaintiffs' high-quality merchandise including, but not limited to, dirt resistant mobile phone cases, dirt resistant tablet cases, shock resistant mobile phone cases, shock resistant tablet cases, drop resistant mobile phone cases, drop resistant tablet cases, mobile phone accessories, tablet accessories, and other goods.

17. Due to the overwhelming amount of resources exhausted by Plaintiffs in order to create, protect, enforce, and promote the Plaintiffs' Trademarks, the Plaintiffs' Trademarks have achieved secondary meaning as identifiers of high-quality merchandise including, but not limited to, dirt resistant mobile phone cases, dirt resistant tablet cases, shock resistant mobile phone cases, shock resistant tablet cases, drop resistant mobile phone cases, drop resistant tablet cases, mobile phone accessories, tablet accessories, and other goods.

18. The Plaintiffs' Trademarks are recognized around the world and throughout the United States by consumers as signifying authentic, high-quality products. As such, the Plaintiffs' Trademarks qualify as famous marks as the term is used in 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)(1) and achieved such fame prior to Defendants' conduct that is the subject of this Complaint.

B. Defendants' Illegal Activities

19. Upon information and belief, Defendants are offering for sale, selling, and using in interstate commerce merchandise bearing counterfeits and infringements of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks (the "Infringing Products").

20. Defendants have been offering for sale and selling a large amount of Infringing Products on the www.eBay.com website ("eBay") using the "johnreilly3" username.

21. On or about August 16, 2022, Plaintiff discovered the "johnreilly3" eBay account which was offering a large amount of Infringing Products at far below the normal retail prices.

22. On this same date, an agent for Plaintiffs purchased an "OtterBox 77-56603 Defender Apple iPhone 12 Pro Max - Black" phone case from the "johnreilly3" eBay account (the "Purchase").

23. Subsequently, Plaintiff reviewed the Purchase and confirmed that the Purchase was not authentic Otter Products merchandise and did, in fact, bear counterfeits and

infringements of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks.

24. On or about August 26, 2022, Otter Products sent Reilly a cease-and-desist letter putting him on specific notice of his illegal activities, demanding that he immediately cease the sale of any Infringing Products, and comply with further demands.

25. Despite this cease-and-desist letter and subsequent attempts to communicate with him, Reilly's illegal activities did not cease as Reilly continued to offer for sale and sell Infringing Products.

26. On or about September 16, 2022, Plaintiffs' counsel received an email from Reilly's attorney David Di Pietro, who upon information and belief is a relative of Reilly, claiming that "...Mr. Reilly will not sell any more cell phone cases to avoid this issue in the future."

27. Unfortunately, this statement by Mr. Di Pietro was not true as Defendants continued to offer for sale Plaintiffs' products. As of the date of the filing of this Complaint, Defendants are still offering for sale Otter Products merchandise.

28. On or about September 5, 2023, Plaintiffs discovered the "johnreilly3" eBay account continued to offer a large amount of Infringing Products at far below the normal retail prices.

29. On this same date, an agent for Otter Products purchased an "OtterBox Symmetry Case for iPhone XS Max – Clear Used" phone case from the "johnreilly3" eBay account ("Purchase 2").

30. Subsequently, Plaintiffs reviewed Purchase 2 and confirmed that Purchase 2 was not authentic Otter Products merchandise and did, in fact, bear counterfeits and infringements of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks.

31. It is clear that Defendants illegal conduct concerning the Plaintiffs' Trademarks

is willful as it has continued despite notice from Plaintiffs, eBay, and even after making the pledge not sell anymore Plaintiffs' products in the future.

32. Since Defendants continued to offer for sale and sold Infringing Products despite being put on notice several times, Defendants' sale of the Infringing Products is clearly willful.

33. Defendants' offer for sale and actual sale of the Infringing Products in the United States constitutes an illegal use in commerce of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, or distribution of the Infringing Products.

34. The Infringing Products offered for sale and sold by Defendants were not manufactured, authorized, or sponsored by Plaintiffs. Thus, consumers will be confused and disappointed by the differences between the Infringing Products distributed by Defendants and the genuine Plaintiffs' merchandise manufactured and sold by Plaintiffs or its affiliates.

35. Despite having notice of their illegal activities, Defendants continue to offer for sale and sell Infringing Products. Defendants are willful infringers of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks causing irreparable harm to Plaintiffs and the unsuspecting public who are being defrauded into purchasing such illegal merchandise.

36. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiffs are losing profits from lost sales of genuine product, is suffering a loss of enormous goodwill created in its Plaintiffs' Trademarks and will continue to suffer such loss if Defendants are allowed to continue their illegal activity.

37. Upon information and belief, Defendants have knowingly and willfully engaged in their illicit activities in direct violation of Plaintiffs' rights and/or have shown a blatant disregard for the same. For these reasons, this qualifies as an exceptional case under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

38. Reilly is directly liable for the infringing actions detailed herein as he is the owner of the eBay account in question and personally offered for sale, sold, and shipped the

Infringing Products.

39. Plaintiffs have suffered irreparable injury as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful distribution of the Infringing Products.

40. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

41. Defendants' unlawful acts will undoubtedly persist without judicial intervention.

As such, Defendants must be restrained and enjoined from further violating Plaintiffs' well-established rights in and to the Plaintiffs' Trademarks.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Trademark Counterfeiting, 15 U.S.C. § 1114)

42. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 41.

43. Defendants have knowingly, intentionally, and without the consent of Plaintiffs used in commerce reproductions, counterfeits, and/or copies of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, or advertising of goods covered by USPTO registrations for the Plaintiffs' Trademarks. Such use is likely to: cause confusion or mistake or deceive consumers; cause consumers to believe Defendants' counterfeit merchandise is affiliated with, sponsored by, authorized, or approved by, or is otherwise associated with Plaintiffs despite the fact that it is not.

44. Defendants' use of the counterfeit marks was willful and done with the knowledge that the marks are counterfeit, and, as such, Defendants' acts constitute willful trademark counterfeiting in violation of Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

45. Defendants' acts constitute use in commerce of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks.

46. For the aforementioned reasons, Plaintiffs are entitled to: (a) damages for all of Defendants' profits derived from their unlawful conduct and/or Plaintiffs' lost profits from sales

of genuine goods due to Defendants' conduct, trebled, to the full extent provided under Sections 35(a) and 35(b) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a)-(b), or alternatively, statutory damages in the amount of up to \$2,000,000 for each mark counterfeited as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c) of the Lanham Act; and (b) reasonable attorneys' fees, investigative fees, and pre-judgment interest according to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b).

47. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' ongoing wrongful conduct. Plaintiffs have been, and absent injunctive relief will continue to be, irreparably harmed by Defendants' actions.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Trademark Infringement, 15 U.S.C. §1114)

48. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 41.

49. Defendants have knowingly, intentionally, and without the consent of Plaintiffs used in commerce reproductions, counterfeits, and/or copies of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, or advertising of goods covered by USPTO registrations for the Plaintiffs' Trademarks. Such use is likely to: cause confusion or mistake or deceive consumers; cause consumers to believe Defendants' counterfeit merchandise is affiliated with, sponsored by, authorized, or approved by, or is otherwise associated with Plaintiffs despite the fact that it is not.

50. Defendants' use of the infringing marks was willful and done with the knowledge that the marks are infringing, and as such, Defendants' acts constitute willful trademark infringement in violation of Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

51. Defendants' acts constitute use in commerce of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks.

52. For the aforementioned reasons, Plaintiffs are entitled to: (a) damages for all of

Defendants' profits derived from their unlawful conduct and/or Plaintiffs' lost profits from sales of genuine goods due to Defendants' conduct to the full extent provided under Section 35(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a); and (b) reasonable attorneys' fees, investigative fees, and pre-judgment interest according to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b).

53. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' ongoing wrongful conduct. Plaintiffs have been, and absent injunctive relief will continue to be, irreparably harmed by Defendants' actions.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Unfair Competition, False Designation of Origin & False Description,
15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

54. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 41.

55. Defendants' sale, offering for sale, distribution, or advertising of goods bearing the Plaintiffs' Trademarks constitutes use in commerce of false designations of origin and false and misleading descriptions and representations that Defendants' counterfeit merchandise is affiliated with, sponsored by, authorized, or approved by, or is otherwise associated with Plaintiffs despite the fact that it is not. As a result of Defendants' unauthorized use of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks, the public is likely to be misled and confused as to the source, sponsorship, or affiliation of Defendants' counterfeit merchandise.

56. Defendants' sale, offering for sale, distribution, or advertising of goods bearing the Plaintiffs' Trademarks constitutes unfair competition as it is intended to cause confusion and deceive consumers and trades upon the established reputation and goodwill of Plaintiffs.

57. Defendants' conduct is willful, intended to reap the benefit of Plaintiffs' established goodwill, and violates Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), all to the severe detriment of Plaintiffs.

58. Defendants' acts entitle Plaintiffs to damages for all of Defendants' profits derived from their past unlawful conduct and/or for all of Plaintiffs' lost profits from lost sales of genuine goods due to Defendants' conduct, trebled, to the full extent provided under Sections 35(a) and 35(b) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1117(a)-(b), or in the alternative to statutory damages under Section 35(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c).

59. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law for Defendants' ongoing wrongful conduct. Plaintiffs have been, and absent injunctive relief will continue to be, irreparably harmed by Defendants' actions.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Federal Trademark Dilution, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c))

60. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 41.

61. Defendants' use of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks or marks confusingly similar thereto in order to sell their products constitutes Defendants' commercial use in commerce of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks.

62. The Plaintiffs' Trademarks are world famous and distinctive. They achieved such status long prior to Defendants' activities complained of herein.

63. Defendants' use of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks on the Internet to advertise unauthorized merchandise constitutes tarnishment of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks.

64. Plaintiffs are suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable harm from Defendants' dilutive activities.

65. Defendants' acts as aforesaid are diluting the distinctive quality of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks in violation of Section 43(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

66. Defendants have intentionally and willfully appropriated the Plaintiffs'

Trademarks and traded on Plaintiffs' reputations.

67. Defendants' wrongful acts of dilution will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Unfair and Deceptive Business Practices, N.Y. Gen. Bus. L. § 349)

68. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference all prior allegations as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 41.

69. The wrongful conduct of Defendants, including but not limited to, the distribution of Infringing Products and making false statements, in order to conceal their illegal activity constitutes materially misleading and deceptive trade practices under New York General Business Law § 349.

70. This wrongful conduct was consumer-oriented within the meaning of Section 349, as it is the intent of Defendants to distribute Infringing Product into the marketplace and therefore harm the general consuming public.

71. Because of this deceptive conduct, Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be damaged both monetarily and in ways impossible to remedy through monetary judgment.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully requests that the Court order the following relief:

I. That the Court enter an injunction ordering that Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, and all other persons in privity or acting in concert with them be enjoined and restrained from:

a) using any counterfeit or infringement of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks to identify any goods not authorized by Plaintiffs;

- b) counterfeiting or infringing the Plaintiffs' Trademarks by importing, manufacturing, distributing, selling, offering for sale, advertising, promoting, displaying any products bearing any simulation, reproduction, counterfeit, or copy of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks;
- c) using any simulation, reproduction, counterfeit, or copy of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks in connection with the importation, promotion, advertisement, display, sale, offering for sale, manufacture, production, circulation, or distribution of any unauthorized products in such fashion as to relate or connect, or tend to relate or connect, such products in any way to Plaintiffs, or to any goods sold, manufactured, sponsored, or approved by, or connected with Plaintiffs;
- d) making any statement or representation whatsoever, or using any false designation of origin or false description, or performing any act, which can or is likely to lead the trade or public, or individual members thereof, to believe that any services provided, products manufactured, distributed, sold or offered for sale, or rented by Defendants are in any way associated or connected with Plaintiffs;
- e) engaging in any other conduct constituting an infringement of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks, of Plaintiffs' rights in, or to use or to exploit, said trademark, or constituting any weakening of Plaintiffs' names, reputations, and goodwill.

II. That Defendants, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118, be required to deliver to Plaintiffs for destruction all unauthorized materials bearing any of the Plaintiffs' Trademarks in association with unauthorized goods and the means for production of the same.

III. Requiring Defendants to pay to Plaintiffs such damages for all of Defendants' profits derived from their unlawful conduct and/or Plaintiffs' lost profits from sales of genuine goods due to Defendants' infringement, counterfeiting, false designation of origin, unfair competition trebled to the full extent provided under Sections 35(a) and 35(b) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a)-(b), or alternatively, statutory damages in the amount of up to \$2,000,000 for each mark counterfeited as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c) of the Lanham Act.

IV. Ordering that Defendants compensate Plaintiffs for the costs of this action, reasonable attorneys' fees, investigative fees, and pre-judgment interest according to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b).

V. Plaintiffs be awarded their actual damages and attorneys' fees pursuant to N.Y. Gen. Bus. L. § 349.

VI. Defendants be required to pay pre-judgment interest on all damages and profits awards.

VII. Directing that this Court retain jurisdiction of this action for the purpose of enabling Plaintiffs to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders and interpretation or execution of any Order entered in this action, for the modification of any such Order, for the enforcement or compliance therewith and for the punishment of any violations thereof.

VIII. Ordering that pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(6), Defendants be prohibited from a discharge under 11 U.S.C. § 727 for malicious, willful, and fraudulent injury to Plaintiffs.

IX. Awarding to Plaintiffs such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper, together with the costs and disbursements that Plaintiffs have incurred in connection with this action.

Dated: November 7, 2023

LEE LAW PLLC



Michael Lee (ML6353)

Lee Law PLLC

57 West 38th Street, 11th Floor

New York, NY 10018

Telephone: (212) 621-8239

Attorney for Plaintiffs